

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT ROSS,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
AT&T MOBILITY, LLC,  
Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:19-CV-06669-LB

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXTENDING  
TIME TO RESPOND TO  
DEFENDANT'S 12(B)(6) MOTION  
AND EXTENDING TIME TO  
RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S  
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S  
12(B)(6) MOTION

Complaint Served: October 25, 2019

Current 12(b)(6) Response Date:  
December 20, 2019

New 12(b)(6) Response Date:  
January 8, 2020

Current 12(b)(6) Opposition Reply Date:  
December 27, 2020

New 12(b)(6) Opposition Reply Date:  
January 22, 2020

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO  
DEFENDANT'S 12(b)(6) MOTION AND EXTENDING DEFENDANT'S TIME  
TO REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S 12(b)(6)  
MOTION

1       Upon consideration of the stipulation filed by the parties, it is ORDERED that  
2 the Plaintiff's deadline to respond to Defendant's 12(b)(6) motion is extended by 19  
3 days to January 8, 2020. In addition, it is ORDERED that the period of time that  
4 Defendant shall have to reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to the 12(b)(6) motion shall be  
5 extended by 7 days, resulting in a deadline of January 22, 2020. Plaintiff's response is  
6 now due January 8, 2020 and Defendant's reply is now due January 22, 2020.

7       The hearing is continued to February 12, 2020.

8       DATED: December 13, 2019



Honorable Jon S. Tigar  
United States District Judge